arXiv:1407.1058v1 [math.RT] 3 Jul 2014

THE SECOND FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF INVARIANT THEORY FOR THE ORTHOSYMPLECTIC SUPERGROUP

G.I. LEHRER AND R.B. ZHANG

Abstract. In a previous work we established a super Schur-Weyl-Brauer duality between the orthosymplectic supergroup of superdimension $(m|2n)$ and the Brauer algebra with parameter $m-2n$. This led to a proof of the first fundamental theorem of invariant theory, using some elementary algebraic supergeometry, and based upon an idea of Atiyah. In this work we use the same circle of ideas to prove the second fundamental theorem for the orthosymplectic supergroup. The proof uses algebraic supergeometry to reduce the problem to the case of the general linear supergroup, which is understood. The main result has a succinct formulation in terms of Brauer diagrams. Our proof includes new proofs of the corresponding second fundamental theorems for the classical orthogonal and symplectic groups, as well as their quantum analogues. These new proofs are independent of the Capelli identities, which are replaced by algebraic geometric arguments.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Linear superalgebra 2
1.2. The orthosymplectic supergroup 3
2. Invariant theory for GL(V) 4
2.1. Invariant theory for GL(V)-the first fundamental theorem 4
2.2. Invariant theory for GL(V)-the second fundamental theorem 5
3. Invariant theory for the orthosymplectic supergroup 6
3.1. The first fundamental theorem for OSp(V)-first formulation 6
3.2. The first fundamental theorem-second formulation 7
3.3. The second fundamental theorem for GL(V)-second formulation 11
4. The second fundamental theorem for OSp(V) 11
4.1. Canonical identifications 11
4.2. Some super ($\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded) geometry 12
4.3. Orthosymplectic invariants 15
5. A diagrammatic form of the second fundamental theorem for OSp(V) 17
5.1. The diagrammatic setup 17
5.2. Proof of Proposition 5.1 18
5.3. The second fundamental theorem of invariant theory 19
5.4. Interpretation in the first formulation 20
6. Application to the classical groups 21

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W22,15A72,17B20.

Key words and phrases. Orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra, supergroup, tensor invariants, Brauer algebra, Schur-Weyl duality.

This research was supported by the Australian Research Council.

6.1. The othogonal case: $G = O(m, \mathbb{C})$ 21
6.2. The symplectic case 22
References 23

s:intro

1. Introduction

This paper is a sequel to [17], in which we proved the first fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the orthosymplectic Lie group $G = \mathrm{OSp}(m|2n, \Lambda)$ over the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra Λ. That theorem provides a set of generators for the invariants of G on $V^{\otimes r}$, where V is the 'natural' representation of G on the superspace V of superdimension $(m|2n)$; this theorem is equivalent to the statement that there is a surjection $B_r(m-2n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r})$, where $B_r(m-2n)$ is the r-string Brauer algebra with parameter $m-2n$. In this paper we give a linear description of all relations among these generators, thus proving the second fundamental theorem of invariant theory.

We shall maintain the notation of *op. cit.*, and use its results.

In this Introduction, we recall the basic notation and general setup of [17], and in the next section we state the main result of [17], as well as giving a brief discussion of the invariant theory of the general linear supergroup $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, which will figure in the proof of our main result. In the following section we state and prove the result. Our method is based upon an idea due to Atiyah, Bott and Patodi [1, Appendix] in the classical case, to use algebraic supergeometry to reduce the theorem to the case of the general linear supergroup $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. In particular, we use geometric means to define an injective Λ-linear map $h : \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r}) \longrightarrow ((V^*)^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{\otimes r})^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$. Using the fact that a full description of $((V^*)^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{\otimes r})^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$ is available in terms of generators and relations, this provides the means to prove our main result, which has a particularly attractive formulation in terms of diagrams in the Brauer category [16].

1.1. **Linear superalgebra.** (See [17, §§2.1,2.2]) We denote by $V_{\mathbb{C}} = (V_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{0}} \oplus (V_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{1}}$ a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded complex vector space of superdimension $\mathrm{sdim}\, V = (m|2n)$, so that $\dim(V_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{0}} = m$ and $\dim(V_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{1}} = 2n$. If $\Lambda(N)$ denotes the exterior algebra on $\mathbb{C}^N$, then the natural inclusion $\mathbb{C}^N \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ induces an inclusion $\Lambda(N) \subset \Lambda(N+1)$. We write $\Lambda := \varinjlim \Lambda(N)$ for the direct limit; this is the Grassmann algebra.

Since Λ is evidently a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra (graded by partity of the degree), we may form $V = V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$. This is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Λ-module, and we write $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$. For a homogeneous element $v \in V$, we denote by $[v]$ the parity of v. Thus $[v] = 0$ if $v \in V_{\bar{0}}$ while $[v] = 1$ for $v \in V_{\bar{1}}$.

The Λ-module $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)$ of Λ-endomorphisms of V is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded, and we write $E := \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)_{\bar{0}}$.

The group $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is the group of invertible elements of E, that is

$$\mathrm{GL}(V) = \{g \in \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)_{\bar{0}} \mid g \ \text{ invertible}\}.$$

This is very much in the spirit of the physics literaure [20, 26].

1.2. **The orthosymplectic supergroup.** Next suppose given a non-degenerate even bilinear form

$$(-,-)_{\mathbb{C}} : V_{\mathbb{C}} \times V_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

which is supersymmetric, that is, $(u,v)_{\mathbb{C}} = (-1)^{[u][v]}(v,u)_{\mathbb{C}}$ for all $u, v \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$. This implies that the form is symmetric on $(V_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{0}} \times (V_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{0}}$ and skew symmetric on $(V_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{1}} \times (V_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{1}}$, and satisfies $(V_{\bar{0}}, V_{\bar{1}})_{\mathbb{C}} = 0 = (V_{\bar{1}}, V_{\bar{0}})_{\mathbb{C}}$. Also, by non-degeneracy, $\dim(V_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{1}} = 2n$ must be even. We call this a nondegenerate *supersymmetric form*. Let $\eta = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix}$, where I_m is the identity matrix of size $m \times m$ and J is a skew symmetric matrix of size $2n \times 2n$ given by $J = diag(\sigma, \ldots, \sigma)$ with $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then there exists an ordered homogeneous basis $\mathcal{E} = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{m+2n})$ of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

eq:standard
$$(e_a, e_b) = \eta_{ab}, \quad \text{for all } a, b. \tag{1.1}$$

Let $V := V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \Lambda$. The given form $(-,-)_{\mathbb{C}}$ extends uniquely to a Λ-bilinear form

eq:laform
$$(-,-) : V \times V \longrightarrow \Lambda, \tag{1.2}$$

which is even and nongenerate, and is supersymmetric in the sense that $(v,w) = (-1)^{[v][w]}(w,v)$ for all $v, w \in V$. We call V an orthosymplectic superspace, and call $\mathcal{E}$ an *orthosymplectic basis* of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ and of V. Note that $(-,-)$ is Λ-bilinear in the sense that for $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$ and $v, v' \in V$, we have

eq:bil
$$(\lambda v, v'\lambda') = \lambda(v, v')\lambda'. \tag{1.3}$$

def:sg
Definition 1.1. The orthosymplectic supergroup $G = \mathrm{OSp}(V)$ is defined as the set of elements of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ which preserve the form $(-,-)$. Specifically, the orthosymplectic supergroup $G := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid (gv, gw) = (v,w) \text{ for all } v, w \in V\}$.

Although $G = \mathrm{OSp}(V)$ will be the main focus of this work, we shall also refer to the following related algebras and groups.

We write $\mathfrak{osp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ for the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{C}$ [12, 21]; this is the Lie sub-superalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ given by

$$\mathfrak{osp}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = \{X \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid (Xv, w)_{\mathbb{C}} + (-1)^{[X][v]}(v, Xw)_{\mathbb{C}} = 0, \ \forall v, w \in V_{\mathbb{C}}\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{osp}(V) = (\mathfrak{osp}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \Lambda)_{\bar{0}}$.

Write $\mathrm{OSp}(V)_0 = \mathrm{O}((V_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{0}}) \times \mathrm{Sp}((V_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{1}})$; this group, which could be thought of as the degree zero part of $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$, acts on $\mathfrak{osp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ by conjugation, and both $\mathrm{OSp}(V)_0$ and $\mathfrak{osp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ act naturally on $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$ for all r. Their actions are compatible in the following sense. For all $g \in \mathrm{OSp}(V)_0$, $X \in \mathfrak{osp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $w \in V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$, we have

$$g(Xw) = Ad_g(X)(gw),$$

where Ad_g denotes the conjugation action of $g \in \mathrm{OSp}(V)_0$ on $\mathfrak{osp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$.

rem:HC-pair
Remark 1.2. Note that $(\mathrm{OSp}(V)_0, \mathfrak{osp}(V_{\mathbb{C}}))$ is a Harish-Chandra super pair (see [5] for details).

2. Invariant theory for GL(V)

In this section we take $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ to be a $\mathbb{C}$-superspace with $\mathrm{sdim}\,(V) = (m|\ell)$, and write $V = V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$, for the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Λ-module, where Λ is the Grassmann algebra. Given any two $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces, $V_{\mathbb{C}}, W_{\mathbb{C}}$, we may form the tensor product $V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_{\mathbb{C}}$ (resp. $V \otimes_{\Lambda} W$). This is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded in the usual way. We have a super-inversion $\tau : V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes W_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow W_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_{\mathbb{C}}$, given by

$$\tau(v \otimes w) = (-1)^{[v][w]} w \otimes v,$$

for homogeneous $v \in V_{\mathbb{C}}, w \in W_{\mathbb{C}}$, and extended linearly. We shall be particularly interested in this inversion when $V_{\mathbb{C}} = W_{\mathbb{C}}$, in which case it defines an endomorphism of $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes 2}$.

Writing $V = V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$ and similarly for W, the involution τ extends uniquely to a Λ-module homomorphism

eq:tau
$$\tau : V \otimes W \to W \otimes V, \;\; \tau(v \otimes w) = (-1)^{[v][w]} w \otimes v, \tag{2.1}$$

where $v \in V, w \in W$ are homogeneous.

In particular, we can form the tensor powers $T^r(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} = V^{\otimes_{\mathbb{C}} r}$ and $T^r(V) = V^{\otimes_{\Lambda} r}$. These spaces inherit actions from the relevant groups and algebras, and we shall be concerned with the algebras $\mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r})$ for various G, especially $G = \mathrm{GL}(V)$, and $G = \mathrm{OSp}(V)$ when $\ell = 2n$ is even. The first fundamental theorem (FFT) describes generators, and the second fundamental theorem (SFT) describes relations for these algebras. In our previous paper [17] we proved the FFT for $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$, while the main purpose of this paper is to prove an SFT for this case.

2.1. Invariant theory for GL(V)-the first fundamental theorem.

We shall require a little background on $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. The general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ is defined as the complex $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ with a bilinear Lie bracket defined for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{gl}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ by

$$[X, Y] = XY - (-1)^{[X][Y]} YX,$$

where the right hand side is defined by composition of endomorphisms. This algebra is often referred to as $\mathfrak{gl}(m|\ell)$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}}(V) = \mathfrak{gl}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$ and regard it as a Lie superalgebra over Λ with a Λ-bilinear Lie bracket defined by

$$[X \otimes \lambda, Y \otimes \mu] = [X, Y] \otimes (-1)^{[\lambda]([Y \otimes \mu])} \mu\lambda,$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{gl}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda$. Then $\mathfrak{gl}(V) = (\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda)_{\bar{0}}$ forms a Lie subalgebra of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}}(V)$ over $\Lambda_{\bar{0}}$, which will be referred to as the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. Note that $\mathfrak{gl}(V) = \mathfrak{gl}(m|\ell)_{\bar{0}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(m|\ell)_{\bar{1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_{\bar{1}}$. Thus both $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ encode the graded structure of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ and of V (cf. Lemma 2.1 below). There is a natural $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}}(V)$ action on V given by $(X \otimes \lambda).(v \otimes \mu) = X.v \otimes (-1)^{[\lambda]([v \otimes \mu])} \mu\lambda$. It restricts to an action of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

The following lemma provides a useful link between $\mathfrak{gl}(m|\ell)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. Its proof may be found in [22, Proposition 5.2] and [17, Lemma 2.6] (see also [3]).

lem:exp
Lemma 2.1. (1) *Given any $X \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, let $\exp(X) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^i}{i!}$. Then $\exp(X)$ is a well defined automorphism of V which lies in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. Hence there exists*

a map

$$\mathrm{Exp} : \mathfrak{gl}(V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V), \quad X \mapsto \exp(X).$$

(2) *The image of* Exp *generates* $\mathrm{GL}(V)$.

Now the general linear supergroup $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ acts on $T^r(V)$ by $g.w = gw_1 \otimes ... \otimes gw_r$ for any $w = w_1 \otimes ... \otimes w_r$ and $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$. The corresponding $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$-action on $V^{\otimes_\Lambda r}$ is defined for all $X \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ by

eq:glvaction

$$\begin{aligned} X.w = Xw_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_r + w_1 \otimes Xw_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_r \\ + \cdots + w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes Xw_r. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

We denote the associated representations of both $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ on $V^{\otimes_\Lambda r}$ by ρ_r. The first fundamental theorem for $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is concerned with $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(V^{\otimes_\Lambda r})$.

Define a Λ-linear action ϖ_r of the symmetric group Sym_r of degree r on $T^r(V)$ as follows. If $s_i = (i, i+1)$, $1 \le i \le r-1$, are the simple reflections which generate Sym_r, then for all i, we define $\varpi_r(s_i)$ by

eq:sym

$$\varpi_r(s_i) : w \mapsto w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau(w_i \otimes w_{i+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes w_r, \tag{2.3}$$

where $w = w_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w_r \in T^r(V)$. The group ring $\Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_r = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{Sym}_r \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$ is an associative superalgebra, with $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Sym}_r$ regarded as purely even. Extend Λ-linearly the representation ϖ_r of Sym_r to obtain an action of the superalgebra $\Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_r$.

It is evident that the actions of $\Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_r$ and $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ on $T^r(V)$ commute with each other. Thus we have a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebras

eq:varpi

$$\varpi_r : \Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_r \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(V^{\otimes_\Lambda r}), \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(V^{\otimes_\Lambda r}) = \{\phi \in \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V^{\otimes_\Lambda r}) \mid g\phi = \phi g,\ \forall g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)\}$.

The following well-known result is the super analogue of Schur-Weyl duality. It is the FFT for $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. A proof may be found in [17, Theorem 3.2], but the result goes back to the physics literature (see e.g., [6, 2]) and the papers [23, 24, 4].

thm:BR

Theorem 2.2. *(FFT for* $\mathrm{GL}(V)$*) The homomorphism* (2.4) *is surjective. That is,* $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(V^{\otimes_\Lambda r}) = \varpi_r(\Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_r)$.

2.2. **Invariant theory for** $\mathrm{GL}(V)$**-the second fundamental theorem.** The second fundamental theorem for $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ describes the kernel of the surjective homomorphism ϖ_r of Theorem 2.2.

Recall that $V = V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$, where $\mathrm{sdim}\,(V) = (m|\ell)$. The following result is an easy consequence of [4, Theorem 3.20].

thm:BR2

Theorem 2.3. *If* $r \le m\ell + m + \ell$*, then the homomorphism* ϖ_r *is an isomorphism. If* $r > m\ell + m + \ell$*, then the kernel of* ϖ_r *is the (two-sided) ideal of* $\Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_r$ *generated by the Young symmetriser of the partition with* $m+1$ *rows and* $\ell+1$ *columns.*

The kernel is therefore generated by an idempotent which is explicitly described as follows. Consider the $(m+1) \times (\ell+1)$ array of integers below, which form a standard tableau.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & \ldots & \ell+1 \\ \ell+2 & \ell+3 & \ldots & 2\ell+2 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ m\ell+m+1 & m\ell+m+2 & \ldots & m\ell+m+\ell+1 \end{array}$$

Let R and C be the subgroups of $\mathrm{Sym}_{m\ell+m+\ell+1}$ (regarded as the subgroup of Sym_r which permutes the first $m\ell+m+\ell+1$ numbers) which stabilise the rows and columns of the array respectively. Thus

$$R = \mathrm{Sym}\{1,2,\dots,\ell+1\} \times \mathrm{Sym}\{\ell+2,\ell+3,\dots,2\ell+2\} \times \dots \\ \cdots \times \mathrm{Sym}\{m\ell+m+1, m\ell+m+2, \dots, m\ell+m+\ell+1\},$$

while

$$C = \mathrm{Sym}\{1,\ell+2,\dots,m\ell+m+1\} \times \mathrm{Sym}\{2,\ell+3,\dots,m\ell+m+2\} \times \dots \\ \cdots \times \mathrm{Sym}\{\ell+1, 2\ell+2, \dots, m\ell+m+\ell+1\},$$

where $\mathrm{Sym}\{X\}$ denotes the group of permutations of the set X.

Then in the group ring $\Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_{m\ell+m+\ell+1} \subseteq \Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_r$, let $e = e(m,\ell)$ be the (even) element defined by

eq:eml
$$e(m,\ell) = \left(\sum_{\pi\in R}\pi\right)\left(\sum_{\sigma\in C}\varepsilon(\sigma)\sigma\right) = \alpha^+(R)\alpha^-(C), \tag{2.5}$$

where ε is the sign character of Sym_r, and for any subset $H \subseteq \mathrm{Sym}_r$, we write $\alpha^+(H)$ (resp. $\alpha^-(H)$) for the element $\sum_{h\in H} h$ (resp. $\sum_{h\in H}\varepsilon(h)h$) of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Sym}_r \subseteq \Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_r$.

It is known that $(|R|!|C|!)^{-1}e(m,\ell)$ is a primitive idempotent in $\Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_{m\ell+m+\ell+1}$. It is also well known that $\Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_r = \oplus_\mu I(\mu)$, where μ runs over the partitions of r, and $I(\mu)$ is a simple ideal of $\Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_r$ for each μ. In this notation, the ideal of $\Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_r$ which is generated by $e(m,\ell)$ is the sum of the $I(\mu)$ over those partitions μ which contain an $(m+1)\times(\ell+1)$ rectangle.

cor:sftgl
Corollary 2.4. *If $r \le m\ell+m+\ell$ then $\mathrm{Ker}(\varpi_r) = 0$. Otherwise, $\mathrm{Ker}(\varpi_r) = \oplus_\mu I(\mu)$ over those partitions μ of r which contain a rectangle of size $(m+1)\times(\ell+1)$.*

3. Invariant theory for the orthosymplectic supergroup

In this section we take $\ell = 2n$ and G to be the orthosymplectic group $G = \mathrm{OSp}(V) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(V)$ as in Definition 1.1. Clearly G acts on $T^r(V)$, and $\mathrm{End}_G(T^r(V))$ is a superalgebra which contains $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(T^r(V))$. We aim to describe this algebra.

3.1. The first fundamental theorem for $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$-first formulation.

We need elements of $\mathrm{End}_G(T^r(V))$ which are not in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(T^r(V))$. Suppose $r=2$ and consider the following element $c_0 \in V\otimes V$. Let $(e_a),(e_a^*)$ $(a = 1,2,\dots,m+2n)$ be a pair of dual $\mathbb{C}$-bases of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ in the sense that $(e_a^*, e_b) = \delta_{ab}$ (the Kronecker delta) for all a,b. The element $c_0 = \sum_a e_a\otimes e_a^* \in V\otimes V$ is independent of the basis chosen, and is G-invariant. Define $\gamma \in \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes 2})$ by $\gamma(v\otimes w) = (v,w)c_0$. This permits us to define elements $\gamma_i \in \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r})$ $(i = 1,\dots,r-1)$ by $\gamma_i = \underbrace{\mathrm{id}_V\otimes\dots\otimes\mathrm{id}_V}_{i-1}\otimes\gamma\otimes\underbrace{\mathrm{id}_V\otimes\dots\otimes\mathrm{id}_V}_{r-i-1}$, with γ acting on the $i, i+1$ factors.

The following result is equivalent to [17, Cor. 5.7].

thm:fft-osp1
Theorem 3.1. *The superalgebra $\mathrm{End}_G(T^r(V))$ is generated by the image of the homomorphism ϖ_r of (2.4), together with $\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_{r-1}$.*

This statement may be reformulated in terms of the Brauer algebra (cf. [17, §5.2]).

cor:fft-ospbr **Corollary 3.2.** *Let $B_r(m-2n)$ be the Brauer algebra on r strings, with parameter $m-2n$, with generators s_i, e_i ($i = 1, \dots, r-1$ (see [17, §5.2]). Then there is a surjective homomorphism $B_r(m-2n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_G(T^r(V))$, such that $s_i \mapsto \varpi_r(s_i)$ and $e_i \mapsto \gamma_i$ for all i.*

3.2. **The first fundamental theorem-second formulation.** The formulations given above of the first fundamental theorem are statements about homomorphisms of non-commutative associative algebras. There is a second formulation in terms of multilinear maps, which is equivalent to the first, but which will be more convenient for our purpose here. Recall that $V^* = \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(V, \Lambda)$ is a free Λ-module of superdimension $(m|\ell)$. The group $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ acts on V^* via $g\phi(v) := \phi(g^{-1}v)$ (for $\phi \in V^*$, $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and $v \in V$). For the discussion of the orthosymplectic case, we shall require that $\ell = 2n$ is even.

3.2.1. *The case of* $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. For the moment, we again take $\mathrm{sdim}\,(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = (m|\ell)$. To relate the two formulations, we shall need the canonical isomorphism $\xi : V \otimes V^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)$, given by $\xi(v \otimes \phi)(w) = v\phi(w)$. This map respects the $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ action, where $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ acts on $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)$ by conjugation. Consider the Λ-module $(T^r(V^*) \otimes_\Lambda T^s(V))^*$; this has an obvious $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ action, and if $r = s$, we have among the $\mathrm{GL}(V)$-invariant elements the functions δ_π ($\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_r$), defined in (3.5) below. Up to sign, we have

eq:dpi (3.1) $$\delta_\pi(\phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_r \otimes v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r) = \pm\phi_1(v_{\pi 1})\phi_2(v_{\pi 2}) \ldots \phi_r(v_{\pi r}).$$

thm:fft-gl2 **Theorem 3.3.** *With notation as above we have*

(3.2) $$(T^r(V^*) \otimes_\Lambda T^s(V))^{*\mathrm{GL}(V)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r \neq s \\ \sum\limits_{\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_r} \Lambda\delta_\pi, & \text{if } r = s. \end{cases}$$

3.2.2. *Equivalence of the two formulations.* The equivalence between Theorems 3.3 and 2.2 is easily deduced from the following commutative diagram, in which all maps respect the action of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. The key is to identify the image of $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_r$ under α_r

eq:diag1 (3.3)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_r & \xrightarrow{\alpha_r} & (T^r(V^*) \otimes_\Lambda T^r(V))^* \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varpi_r} & & {\scriptstyle e^{(r)}}\uparrow{\scriptstyle \wr} \\ \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(T^r(V)) & \xleftarrow{f^{(r)}} & T^r(V) \otimes T^r(V^*). \end{array}$$

To describe these maps explicitly, the following notation is useful. If $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$, define $J(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by $J(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = a_r(b_1 + \cdots + b_{r-1}) + a_{r-1}(b_1 + \cdots + b_{r-2}) + \cdots + a_2 b_1$. We then also have $J(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = b_1(a_2 + \cdots + a_r) + b_2(a_3 + \cdots + a_r) + \cdots + b_{r-1}a_r = \sum_{i>j} a_i b_j$.

We shall apply this notation as follows. If $\underline{v} = v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r \in T^r(V)$ or $\underline{\phi} = \phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_r \in T^r(V^*)$, we write $p(\underline{v})$ for the parity sequence $([v_1], [v_2], \ldots, [v_r]) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$, and similarly for $p(\underline{\phi})$.

The maps $e^{(r)}$ and $f^{(r)}$ are canonical $\mathrm{GL}(V)$-isomorphisms of graded Λ-modules. They are defined as follows. Let $\underline{v} = v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r \in T^r(V)$ and $\underline{\phi} = \phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_r \in T^r(V^*)$. Then

$$f^{(r)}(\underline{v} \otimes \underline{\phi}) : w_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w_r \mapsto (-1)^{J(p(\underline{\phi}), p(\underline{w}))} \underline{v}\phi_1(w_1) \ldots \phi_r(w_r).$$

Similarly, for $\underline{v}, \underline{w} \in T^r(V)$ and $\underline{\phi}, \underline{\psi} \in T^r(V^*)$, we have

$$e^{(r)}(\underline{v} \otimes \underline{\phi}) : \underline{\psi} \otimes \underline{w} \mapsto (-1)^{c(\underline{v},\underline{\phi},\underline{\psi},\underline{w})} \psi_1(v_1) \dots \psi_r(v_r)\phi_1(w_1) \dots \phi_r(w_r),$$

where $c(\underline{v}, \underline{\phi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{w}) = [\underline{\psi}]([\underline{v}] + [\underline{\phi}]) + J(p(\underline{\psi}), p(\underline{v})) + J(p(\underline{\phi}), p(\underline{w}))$.

Our goal is to identify $\alpha_r(\pi)$, where $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_n$. To do this, we shall identify $\varpi_r(\pi)$ and use the isomorphisms above. For the former, we need the following definition.

def:n **Definition 3.4.** For $\underline{v} = v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r \in T^r(V)$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_r$, define $n(\sigma, \underline{v}) := \sum_{(i,j)\in N(\sigma)} [v_i][v_j] \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, where $N(\sigma) = \{(i,j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le r,\ \ \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\}$.

We then have

lem:vpaction **Lemma 3.5.** *For $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_r$, the element $\varpi_r(\pi) \in \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(T^r(V))$ takes $\underline{v} = v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r$ to $(-1)^{n(\pi^{-1},\underline{v})} v_{\pi^{-1}1} \otimes \ldots v_{\pi^{-1}r}$.*

We next identify the element of $T^r(V) \otimes T^r(V^*)$ which corresponds to $\varpi_r(\pi) \in \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(T^r(V))$ under the isomorphism $f^{(r)}$. For this, we take a homogeneous basis $e_1, \dots, e_{m+\ell}$ of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $[e_i] = \bar{0}$ for $1 \le i \le m$, and $[e_i] = \bar{1}$ otherwise. Let $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{m+\ell}$ be the dual basis of $V_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. Then (e_i) and (ε_i) are homogeneous bases of the Λ-modules V and V^* respectively, and are dual in the sense that $\varepsilon_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$. As usual, we write $[e_i] = [i] = [\varepsilon_i]$ for the parity of these elements.

lem:epi **Lemma 3.6.** *The element $E_\pi \in T^r(V) \otimes T^r(V^*)$ which corresponds to $\varpi_r(\pi) \in \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(T^r(V))$ is given by*

$$E_\pi = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_a=1}^{r} (-1)^{J(\pi,(i_a))} e_{i_{\pi^{-1}1}} \otimes e_{i_{\pi^{-1}2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{\pi^{-1}r}} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \varepsilon_{i_r},$$

where

$$J(\pi, (i_a)) = \sum_{\substack{1\le a<b\le r \\ \pi^{-1}(a)<\pi^{-1}(b)}} [i_a][i_b].$$

Proof. It is straightforward to check that $f^{(r)}(E_\pi)$ acts as $\varpi_r(\pi)$ on each element of the form $e_{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{j_r}$. Since these elements form a basis of $T^r(V)$, the result follows. □

def:brace **Definition 3.7.** Define the Λ-bilinear non-degenerate pairing $\langle -, - \rangle : T^r(V^*) \times T^r(V) \longrightarrow \Lambda$ by

$$\langle \phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_r, v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r \rangle = (-1)^{J(p(\underline{\phi}),p(\underline{v}))} \phi_1(v_1) \dots \phi_r(v_r).$$

It is a straightforward exercise to show that for $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_r$, we then have, for $\underline{\phi} \in T^r(V^*)$ and $\underline{v} \in T^r(V)$,

eq:slide (3.4) $$\langle \varpi_r(\pi)(\underline{\phi}), \underline{v} \rangle = \langle \underline{\phi}, \varpi_r(\pi^{-1})(\underline{v}) \rangle.$$

We now define the functions $\delta_\pi \in ((T^r(V^*) \otimes T^r(V))^*)^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$ by

eq:defdelta (3.5) $$\delta_\pi(\underline{\psi} \otimes \underline{w}) := \langle \underline{\psi}, \varpi_r(\pi)(\underline{w}) \rangle,$$

for $\underline{\psi} \in T^r(V^*)$ and $\underline{w} \in T^r(V)$.

lem:epie **Lemma 3.8.** *For any elements $\underline{\psi} \in T^r(V^*)$ and $\underline{w} \in T^r(V)$, we have*

$$e^{(r)}(E_\pi)(\underline{\psi} \otimes \underline{w}) = \delta_\pi(\underline{\psi} \otimes \underline{w}).$$

Proof. Since the statement is bilinear in $\underline{\psi}$ and $\underline{w}$ it suffices to prove it for $\underline{\psi} = \varepsilon_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \varepsilon_{i_r}$ and $\underline{w} = e_{k_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{k_r}$. It is then clear that both sides are equal to zero unless $i_a = k_{\pi^{-1}a}$ for $a = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. It remains only to check the sign, and for this we note that we have the following equation in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. For any sequence $([i_a]) = [i_1], \ldots, [i_r] \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(\pi, (i_a)) + n(\pi^{-1}, e_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_r}) &= J(([i_a]), ([i_a])) \\ &= J(([i_{\pi^{-1}a}]), ([i_{\pi^{-1}a}])) = \sum_{a \neq b} [i_a][i_b]. \end{aligned}$$

□

cor:equ **Corollary 3.9.** *The functions δ_π ($\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_r$) span $((T^r(V^*) \otimes T^r(V))^*)^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$.*

This is clear from the commutativity of the diagram (3.3).

3.2.3. *The case of* $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$. The second formulation of the FFT for $G = \mathrm{OSp}(V)$ describes the Λ-module $(T^r(V)^*)^G = T^r(V^*)^G$. Here V is the orthosymplectic Λ-superspace corresponding to $V_{\mathbb{C}}$, with $\mathrm{sdim}\,(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = (m|2n)$. As usual, the non-degenerate orthosymplectic form (1.2) on V will be denoted $(-,-)$.

If $r = 2d$ is even and $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$, then we have the element $\kappa_\pi \in (T^r(V)^*)^G$, defined as follows.

First define $\langle - \rangle_0 \in T^{2d}(V)^*$: for $\underline{v} = v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{2d} \in T^{2d}(V)$,

$$\langle \underline{v} \rangle_0 = (v_1, v_2)(v_3, v_4) \ldots (v_{2d-1}, v_{2d}).$$

def:kappa **Definition 3.10.** For $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$ we define $\kappa_\pi \in T^{2d}(V)^*$ by

eq:kappa (3.6)
$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\pi(v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{2d}) &:= \langle \varpi_{2d}(\pi)(\underline{v}) \rangle_0 \\ &= (-1)^{n(\pi^{-1}, \underline{v})}(v_{\pi^{-1}(1)}, v_{\pi^{-1}(2)})(v_{\pi^{-1}(3)}, v_{\pi^{-1}(4)}) \ldots (v_{\pi^{-1}(2d-1)}, v_{\pi^{-1}(2d)}). \end{aligned}$$

The second formulation of the FFT for $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$ is as follows.

thm:fft-osp2 **Theorem 3.11.** [17, Theorem 4.3] *Maintaining the notation above, let $W = T^r(V^*)$ and $G = \mathrm{OSp}(V)$. If r is odd, then $W^G = 0$. If $r = 2d$ is even, then $W^G = \sum_{\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}} \Lambda \kappa_\pi$.*

Of course we may have $\kappa_\pi = \kappa_{\pi'}$ for distinct permutations $\pi, \pi' \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$, and this permits us to formulate a slightly sharper version of the FFT for $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$ as follows.

def:c **Definition 3.12.** Let C be the centraliser of the involution $(12)(34)\ldots(2d-1, 2d)$ in Sym_{2d}. It is the semidirect product $C = \mathrm{Sym}_d \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^d$.

The quotient Sym_{2d}/C may be identified with the set of partitions $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2d\} = \{i_1, j_1\} \amalg \{i_2, j_2\} \amalg \cdots \amalg \{i_d, j_d\}$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2d\}$ into pairs. This is because Sym_{2d} evidently acts transitively on such partitions, and C is the stabiliser of the partition $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2d\} = \{1, 2\} \amalg \{3, 4\} \amalg \cdots \amalg \{2d-1, 2d\}$. This set of partitions is also evidently in canonical bijection with the set of Brauer diagrams from $2d$ to 0 (see [16, Definitions 2.1 and 2.3]), and the action of Sym_{2d} on B_{2d}^0 is precisely right multiplication by the diagrams, where a permutation $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$ is thought of as a Brauer diagram $\pi : 2d \to 2d$ in B_{2d}^{2d}.

We shall freely make use of the language of the Brauer category and refer the reader to [16] for details. In particular, for non-negative integers p, q B_p^q denotes the

Λ module of Brauer diagrams $D : p \to q$. Specifically, the partitions D above may be thought of as morphisms from $2d$ to 0 in the Brauer category $\mathcal{B}(m-2n)$ (see Fig. 1).



FIGURE 1. A diagram $D : 2d \longrightarrow 0$ Fig5



FIGURE 2. A permutation $\pi : 2d \to 2d$ Fig2

lem:ctriv **Lemma 3.13.** *For any elements $\underline{v} \in T^{2d}(V)$ and $\sigma \in C$, we have $\langle \varpi_{2d}(\sigma)\underline{v}\rangle_0 = \langle \underline{v}\rangle_0$.*

Proof. The group C is generated by the permutations $(2i-1, 2i+1)(2i, 2i+2)$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, d-1)$ together with $(1, 2)$. It therefore suffices to prove the statement for these permutations and all $\underline{v}$, and this is a straightforward exercise. □



FIGURE 3. The diagram $D_0 : 2d \to 0$ Fig3

cor:kd **Corollary 3.14.** *Let $D_0 \in B_{2d}^0$ be the diagram corresponding to the partitioning $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2d\} = \{1, 2\} \amalg \{3, 4\} \amalg \cdots \amalg \{2d-1, 2d\}$ and let $D \in B_{2d}^0$ be any diagram. If $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$ is such that $D = D_0\pi$, then κ_π is independent of π, i.e. depends only on D.*

Proof. For $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$, we have $D_0\pi_1 = D_0\pi_2$ if and only if $D_0\pi_1{\pi_2}^{-1} = D_0$, i.e. if and only if $\pi_1 = \sigma\pi_2$ for some $\sigma \in C$. But for any $\underline{v} \in T^{2d}(V)$, we then have

$$\kappa_{\pi_1}(\underline{v}) = \langle \varpi_{2d}(\sigma\pi_2)(\underline{v})\rangle_0 = \langle \varpi_{2d}(\sigma)(\varpi_{2d}(\pi_2)\underline{v})\rangle_0 = \langle \varpi_{2d}(\pi_2)\underline{v}\rangle_0 = \kappa_{\pi_2}(\underline{v}),$$

the last equality being a consequence of the Lemma. This proves the corollary. □

def:kd **Definition 3.15.** For any Brauer diagram $D \in B_{2d}^0$, define $\kappa_D \in (T^{2d}(V^*))^G$ by $\kappa_D = \kappa_\pi$ for any $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$ such that $D = D_0\pi$. By Corollary 3.14, this is well defined.

cor:fft-osp2 **Corollary 3.16.** *In the notation above, we have* $T^{2d}(V^*)^{\mathrm{OSp}(V)} = W^G = \sum_{D\in\mathcal{D}} \Lambda\kappa_D$.

Proof. For each permutation $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$, we have $\kappa_\pi = \kappa_D$ where D is the diagram $D_0\pi$. The result is now immediate from Theorem 3.11. □

3.3. **The second fundamental theorem for** $\mathrm{GL}(V)$**-second formulation.** Recall that when discussing $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, we take $\mathrm{sdim}\,(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = (m|\ell)$. We now interpret the second fundamental theorem for $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ in terms of the second formulation of the first fundamental theorem. In the notation of Theorem 3.3, to describe the space $((T^r(V^*) \otimes T^r(V))^*)^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$, we need to describe all Λ-linear relations among the functions δ_π (see (3.5)). The result is as follows.

thm:sft-gl2 **Theorem 3.17.** *In the notation above, all linear relations among the* δ_π *are consequences of those of the form*

$$\sum_{\pi\in\mathrm{Sym}_r} a_\pi\delta_\pi = 0,$$

where $\sum_{\pi\in\mathrm{Sym}_r} a_\pi\pi \in I(\mu)$ *for some partition* μ *of* r*, which contains an* $(m+1)\times(\ell+1)$ *rectangle.*

Proof. In the diagram (3.3), $\alpha_r : \Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_r \longrightarrow (T^r(V^*) \otimes_\Lambda T^r(V))^{*\mathrm{GL}(V)}$ is defined so as to make the diagram commute. By commutativity, $\mathrm{Ker}(\alpha_r) = \mathrm{Ker}(\varpi_r) = \sum I(\mu)$, over those partitions μ which contain an $(m+1)\times(\ell+1)$ rectangle.

The commutativity of the diagram (3.3), together with Lemmas 3.5 and 3.8, imply that we have an isomorphism of Λ-modules $\Phi : \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(T^r(V)) \longrightarrow (T^r(V^*) \otimes T^r(V))^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$, such that $\Phi(\varpi_r(\pi)) = \delta_\pi$ for each permutation $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_r$. It follows that for elements $a_\pi \in \Lambda$, $\sum_{\pi\in\mathrm{Sym}_r} a_\pi\delta_\pi = 0$ if and only if $\sum_{\pi\in\mathrm{Sym}_r} a_\pi\varpi_r(\pi) = 0$, and by the first formulation, the last relation holds if and only if $\sum_{\pi\in\mathrm{Sym}_r} a_\pi\pi \in I(\mu)$ for some partition μ of r, which contains an $(m+1)\times(\ell+1)$ rectangle. □

4. The second fundamental theorem for $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$

In this section we assume that $\mathrm{sdim}\,(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = (m|2n)$, and we maintain the standard notation $V = V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$, and $G = \mathrm{OSp}(V)$. The orthosymplectic form on V is denoted $(-,-)$, and the Λ-linear involution $A \mapsto A^\dagger$ on $\mathrm{End}(V)$ is defined by $(Av, w) = (-1)^{[A][v]}(v, A^\dagger w)$ for all $v, w \in V$ and $A \in \mathrm{End}(V)$.

4.1. **Canonical identifications.** We recall the following facts from [17, §3.4]. For $v \in V$, the element $\phi_v \in V^*$ is defined by $\phi_v(w) = (v, w)$ $(v, w \in V)$. Then for $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$, we have $g\phi_v = \phi_{\hat{g}v}$, where $\hat{g} = (g^\dagger)^{-1}$. Further, we have a canonical isomorphism of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$-modules $\zeta^* : V^* \otimes V^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(V)$, where $\zeta^*(\phi_v \otimes \phi_w) : x \mapsto v(w, x)$ (for $x, v, w \in V$). Here the action of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ on $\mathrm{End}(V)$ is given by $g : A \mapsto \hat{g}Ag^{-1}$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and $A \in \mathrm{End}(V)$.

Recall [17, Lemma 3.22] that the isomorphism ζ^* satisfies $\zeta^* \circ \tau = {}^\dagger \circ \zeta^*$, where τ is the usual graded involution defined by $\tau(\phi \otimes \psi) = (-1)^{[\phi][\psi]}\psi \otimes \phi$. That is, for $\phi, \psi \in V^*$, we have $\zeta^*(\tau(\phi \otimes \psi)) = (\zeta^*(\phi \otimes \psi))^\dagger$. It follows that if $S^2(V^*)$

and $\wedge^2(V^*)$ are respectively the $+1$ and -1 eigenspaces of τ, and $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)^\pm$ are the ± 1 eigenspaces of †, then ζ^* defines isomorphisms $S^2(V^*) \to \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)^+$ and $\wedge^2(V^*) \to \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)^-$.

We shall make crucial use of the following map.

def:omega **Definition 4.1.** Define the map $\omega : \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V) \to \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)^+$ by $\omega(A) = A^\dagger A$. We shall generally restrict ω to $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)_{\bar 0}$ without changing the notation.

The following observation will be useful. Let $r = 2d$ be even. Then we have the canonical $\mathrm{GL}(V)$-isomorphism

eq:ci
$$T^d(\zeta^*) \otimes T^{2d}(\mathrm{id}_V) : T^{2d}(V^*) \otimes T^{2d}(V) \longrightarrow T^d(\mathrm{End}(V)) \otimes T^{2d}(V). \tag{4.1}$$

lem:endinv **Lemma 4.2.** *We have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^{2d}(V^*) \otimes T^{2d}(V) & \longrightarrow & T^d(\mathrm{End}(V)) \otimes T^{2d}(V) \\ & {\scriptstyle \langle -,- \rangle} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle \mu} & \\ & \Lambda & \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrow is as in (4.1), $\langle -,- \rangle \in \left((T^{2d}(V^*) \otimes T^{2d}(V))^*\right)^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$ *is the form defined in Definition 3.7 above, and* $\mu(A_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_d \otimes v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{2d}) = (-1)^{J(\underline{A},\underline{v})}(v_1, A_1 v_2) \ldots (v_{2d-1}, A_d v_{2d})$ *for* $A_i \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ *and* $v_j \in V$, *with* $J(\underline{A},\underline{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^d [A_i](\sum_{j=1}^{2i-1}[v_j])$.

Proof. Note first that it is easily verified that $\mu \in \left((T^{2d}(V^*) \otimes T^{2d}(V))^*\right)^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$. It is straightforward to verify the commutativity of the diagram on elements of the form $\phi_{v_1,w_1} \otimes \ldots \phi_{v_d,w_d} \otimes x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{2d}$, where $\phi_{v,w} = \zeta^*(\phi_v \otimes \phi_w)$. The result now follows by Λ-linearity. □

cor:dpi2 **Corollary 4.3.** *For* $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$, *the element* δ_π *is realised on* $\mathrm{End}(V)) \otimes T^{2d}(V)$ *by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_\pi(A_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_d \otimes w_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w_{2d}) \\ &\qquad = (-1)^{J(\underline{A},\underline{w}_{\pi^{-1}}) + n(\pi^{-1},\underline{w})}(w_{\pi^{-1}1}, A_1 w_{\pi^{-1}2}) \ldots (w_{\pi^{-1}(2d-1)}, A_d w_{\pi^{-1}(2d)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $n(\pi^{-1},\underline{w})$ *is as in Definition 3.4 and* $\underline{w}_{\pi^{-1}} = w_{\pi^{-1}1} \otimes \ldots \otimes w_{\pi^{-1}(2d)}$.

Proof. From (3.5), we have $\delta_\pi(\underline{\phi} \otimes \underline{w}) = \langle \underline{\phi}, \varpi_r(\pi)\underline{w} \rangle$ for $\underline{\phi} \in T^{2d}(V^*)$. The statement is now immediate from Lemmas 3.5 and 4.2. □

4.2. **Some super ($\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded) geometry.** We shall recall and complement some notions concerning graded-commutative algebraic geometry. Background for the material in this section may be found in [17, §3.2].

Let Λ be the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra as above, and write its generators as $e(1), e(2), \ldots$. For any positive integer N, $\Lambda(N)$ denotes the subalgebra of Λ generated by $e(1), e(2), \ldots, e(N)$. Recall that Λ has a natural grading $\Lambda = \oplus_{k=0}^\infty \Lambda^k$, where Λ^k is the subspace with basis $\{e(i_1)e(i_2)\ldots e(i_k) \mid i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k\}$ and is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra, with $\Lambda_{\bar 0} = \oplus_{k \text{ even}} \Lambda^k$ and $\Lambda_{\bar 1} = \oplus_{k \text{ odd}} \Lambda^k$.

Let $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{C}$-vector space with $\mathrm{sdim}\,(M_{\mathbb{C}}) = (k|l)$. We then have the superspace $M := M_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$. The (graded) symmetric algebra $S(M)$ is defined as $S(M) = T(M)/I(M) = \oplus_{r=0}^\infty S^r(M)$, where $T(M) = \oplus_{j=0}^\infty M^{\otimes_\Lambda r}$ is the

tensor algebra, and $I(M)$ is the ideal of $T(M)$ generated by elements of the form $v \otimes w - (-1)^{[v][w]} w \otimes v \in T^2(V)$. It was shown in [17, loc. cit.] that $S^r(M)$ may be identified with $T^r(M)/\mathrm{Sym}_r$, where Sym_r acts via ϖ_r as above.

If, for any set U, $\mathcal{F}(U, \Lambda)$ denotes the Λ-module of functions $f : U \to \Lambda$, then we have a map $F^r : S^r(M^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(M_{\bar{0}}, \Lambda)$ which was shown in [17, loc. cit.] to be injective. The map is defined as follows. For $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_r \in M^*$, denote by $\overline{\phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_r}$ the image in $S^r(M^*)$ of $\phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_r \in T^r(M^*)$. The for any $m \in M_{\bar{0}}$, we have $F^r(\overline{\phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_r})(m) := \langle \phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_r, m \otimes m \otimes \ldots \otimes m \rangle$, where the bracket is defined in Definition 3.7. This definition depends only on $\overline{\phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_r} \in S^r(M^*)$, not $\phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_r$. The image $F^r(S^r(M^*)) := \mathcal{P}^r[M_{\bar{0}}]$, the Λ-module of polynomial functions of degree r on $M_{\bar{0}}$. Let $\mathcal{P}[M_{\bar{0}}] := \oplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}^r[M_{\bar{0}}]$, which forms an algebra, the algebra of polynomial functions on $M_{\bar{0}}$.

Now let $b_1, \ldots, b_{k+l}$ be a homogeneous basis of $M_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that $b_1, \ldots, b_k \in (M_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{0}}$ while $b_{k+1}, \ldots, b_{k+l} \in (M_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{1}}$. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_{k+l}$ be the dual basis of $M_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $(b_i), (X_i)$ are also dual homogeneous bases of the Λ-module M. It was shown in [17, §3.2] that a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}^r[M_{\bar{0}}]$ may be represented (uniquely) in the form

eq:poly
$$f = \sum_{m_1 + \cdots + m_{k+l} = r} \lambda_{m_1 m_2 \ldots m_{k+l}} X_1^{m_1} X_2^{m_2} \ldots X_{k+l}^{m_{k+l}}, \tag{4.2}$$

where the sum is over non-negative itegers m_i, $\lambda_{m_1 m_2 \ldots m_{k+l}} \in \Lambda$, and $m_j = 0$ or 1 if $k+1 \leq j \leq k+l$. The value of this function f at $m = \sum_{j=1}^{k+l} b_j \mu_j \in M_{\bar{0}}$ is given by

eq:fm
$$f(m) = \sum_{m_1 + \cdots + m_{k+l} = r} \lambda_{m_1 m_2 \ldots m_{k+l}} \mu_1^{m_1} \mu_2^{m_2} \ldots \mu_{k+l}^{m_{k+l}}. \tag{4.3}$$

A subset $U \subseteq M_{\bar{0}}$ is said to be *dense* if, for any polynomial function $f \in \mathcal{P}[M_{\bar{0}}]$, we have $f(U) = 0$ implies that $f = 0$.

We shall give a suffcient condition for a subset to be dense. Before stating it, we make some observations about $M_{\bar{0}}$. Notice that $M_{\bar{0}} = (M_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{0}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_{\bar{0}} \oplus (M_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_{\bar{1}}$, and that $(M_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{0}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_{\bar{0}} \supseteq (M_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}^k$ since $\Lambda^0 = \mathbb{C}$. Let $\Lambda(>N) = \langle e(n) \mid n > N \rangle$ be the subalgebra of Λ generated by $\{e(n) \mid n > N\}$. Now let us say that the subset $U \subseteq M_{\bar{0}}$ has property D if

eq:d1
$$u \in U \text{ and } \beta \in \mathbb{C} \implies \beta u \in U, \tag{4.4}$$

and for each integer $N \geq 0$ there are elements $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_l \in \Lambda(>N) \cap \Lambda_{\bar{1}}$ such that the products $\nu_{i_1} \nu_{i_2} \ldots \nu_{i_j}$ with $i_1 < \cdots < i_j$ for all $j \leq \ell$ are linearly independent (in particular, $\nu_1 \nu_2 \ldots \nu_l \neq 0$) and a Zariski dense subset $U^0 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^k (= (M_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{0}} \otimes \Lambda^0)$ such that for each element $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k) \in U^0$, we have

eq:d2
$$b_1 \beta_1 + \cdots + b_k \beta_k + b_{k+1} \nu_1 + b_{k+2} \nu_2 + \cdots + b_{k+l} \nu_l \in U. \tag{4.5}$$

lem:dense
Lemma 4.4. *Suppose $U \subseteq M_{\bar{0}}$ satisfies the conditions* (4.4) *and* (4.5) *above. Then U is dense in $M_{\bar{0}}$.*

Proof. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{P}[M_{\bar{0}}]$ vanishes on U, and that $f = f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_r$, where $f_i \in \mathcal{P}^i[M_{\bar{0}}]$. We need to show that f vanishes on $M_{\bar{0}}$. Notice first that for $u \in U$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $f(\beta u) = f_0(u) + \beta f_1(u) + \beta^2 f_2(u) + \cdots + \beta^r f_r(u)$. Hence by the property (4.4), $f(u) = 0$ if and only if $f_i(u) = 0$ for all i. Hence we may assume that $f = f_r$ is homogeneous.

Now suppose that f is as in (4.2). Then there is an integer N such that $\lambda_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_{k+l}} \in \Lambda(N)$. Take $\nu = \sum_{j=1}^{l} b_{k+j}\nu_j$ as specified by the property (4.5). Recall that $m_i = 0$ or 1 if $k+1 \leq i \leq k+l$. Fix a sequence $M_{k+1}, \dots, M_{k+l}$ such that $\sum_j M_{k+j} = r - s \leq r$. We shall show that $\lambda_{m_1 \dots m_k M_{k+1} \dots M_{k+l}} = 0$ for all sequences $m_1, \dots, m_k$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i = s$.

But by choice of the ν_i, it is clear that for all $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in U^0$,

$$\sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_k = s} \lambda_{m_1 \dots m_k M_{k+1} \dots M_{k+l}} \beta_1^{m_1} \beta_2^{m_2} \dots \beta_k^{m_k} = 0.$$

It follows since U^0 is Zariski dense in $\mathbb{C}^k$ that all coefficients $\lambda_{m_1 \dots m_k M_{k+1} \dots M_{k+l}}$ are zero. □

We shall need the next two results later.

prop:omegadense **Proposition 4.5.** *The image of the map $\omega : \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)_{\bar{0}} \to \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)_{\bar{0}}^+$ (see Definition 4.1) above) is dense in $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)_{\bar{0}}^+$.*

Proof. The argument in the proof of [17, Lemma 6.7] shows that the image of ω satisfies the conditions (4.4) and (4.5). Hence the result is immediate from Lemma 4.4. □

prop:symspan **Proposition 4.6.** *Let $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded complex vector space with $\mathrm{sdim}\,(M_{\mathbb{C}}) = (k|\ell)$, and let $M = M_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$ be the corresponding superspace over the Grassmann algebra. Let $B \subseteq M_{\bar{0}}$ be a subset satisfying the conditions*

(i) $\Lambda B = M$; that is, B generates M as Λ-module.

(ii) For any two distinct elements $b, c \in B$ there are infinitely many complex numbers λ such that $b + \lambda c \in B$.

Then for each integer $r \geq 0$, the space $(S^r(M))_{\bar{0}}$ is the $\Lambda_{\bar{0}}$-span of the elements $\{b \otimes b \otimes \dots \otimes b \mid b \in B\}$.

Proof. This will be by induction on r, the case $r = 1$ being trivial, since by the condition (i), $\Lambda_{\bar{0}} B = M_{\bar{0}}$, so that $M_{\bar{0}}$ is the $\Lambda_{\bar{0}}$-span of B (and $M_{\bar{1}}$ is the $\Lambda_{\bar{1}}$-span of B).

Now it follows from [17, Lemma 3.7] that $S^r(M) = \alpha^+(r) T^r(M)$, where $\alpha^+(r) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_r} \sigma$, with Sym_r acting on $T^r(M)$ in the usual way through ϖ_r (see (2.3)). Denote by $\Sigma(r)$ the $\Lambda_{\bar{0}}$-span of $\{b \otimes b \otimes \dots \otimes b \mid b \in B\}$. Then by the last remark, it suffices to show that for any element $m_1 \otimes m_2 \otimes \dots \otimes m_r \in T^r(M)_{\bar{0}}$, we have

proj (4.6) $$\alpha^+(r)(m_1 \otimes m_2 \otimes \dots \otimes m_r) \in \Sigma(r).$$

We shall prove (4.6) by induction on r. Assume (4.6) for a smaller number of factors; we consider separately two cases.

Case 1: at least one of the factors m_i lies in $M_{\bar{0}}$. In this case, since for any element $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_r$ we have $\alpha^+(r)\sigma = \alpha^+(r)$, we may clearly assume that $m_r \in M_{\bar{0}}$. Then $m_1 \otimes m_2 \otimes \dots \otimes m_{r-1} \in T^{r-1}(M)_{\bar{0}}$, and by induction, we have $\alpha^+(r-1)(m_1 \otimes m_2 \otimes \dots \otimes m_{r-1}) \in \Sigma(r-1)$.

But from the coset decomposition of Sym_r with respect to Sym_{r-1}, we have

$$\alpha^+(r) = \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (i, r)\right) \alpha^+(r-1),$$

where (i,j) denotes the transposition of i and j in Sym_r and Sym_{r-1} is the subgroup of Sym_r which permutes $\{1,\dots,r-1\}$. The last two observations imply that $\alpha^+(r)(m_1\otimes m_2\otimes\ldots\otimes m_r)$ is a $\Lambda_{\bar 0}$-linear combination of elements of the form

form
$$\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1}(i,r)\right)(b\otimes b\otimes\ldots\otimes b\otimes c), \tag{4.7}$$

where $b,c\in B$.

Now denote by $s_{i,j}(b,c)$ the sum of all tensors of the form $\ldots\otimes b\otimes\ldots\otimes c\otimes\cdots\in T^r(M)$, where all factors are either b or c, and there are i factors equal to b, and j factors equal to c. Then the element in (4.7) is $s_{1,r-1}(b,c)$, and it will suffice to show that if $b,c\in B$, then $s_{i,j}(b,c)\in\Sigma(r)$ for all i,j such that $i+j=r$. To see this last point, suppose $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ is such that $b+\lambda c\in B$. Then $\Sigma(r)\ni(b+\lambda c)\otimes(b+\lambda c)\otimes\ldots\otimes(b+\lambda c)=\sum_{j=0}^r\lambda^j s_{i,j}(b,c)$. By taking $r+1$ distinct values λ for which this relation holds, we obtain, by the invertibility of the van der Monde matrix, an equation for each element $s_{i,j}(b,c)$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination of the elements $(b+\lambda c)\otimes(b+\lambda c)\otimes\ldots\otimes(b+\lambda c)\in\Sigma(r)$. Thus $s_{r-1,1}(b,c)\in\Sigma(r)$, and the proof in Case 1 is complete.

Case 2: each factor $m_i\in M_{\bar 1}$. In this case, we must have r even. Since $\alpha^+(r)$ is linear in each variable m_i and since $M_{\bar 1}=\Lambda_{\bar 1}B$, we may assume that for $i=1,2,\dots,r$, we have $m_i=\lambda_i b_i$, where $\lambda_i\in\Lambda_{\bar 1}$ and $b_i\in B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^+(r)(\lambda_1 b_1\otimes\ldots\lambda_r b_r)&=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sym}_r}\sigma(\lambda_1 b_1\otimes\ldots\lambda_r b_r)\\&=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sym}_r}\varepsilon(\sigma)\lambda_{\sigma(1)}\lambda_{\sigma(2)}\ldots\lambda_{\sigma(r)}b_{\sigma(1)}\otimes\ldots\otimes b_{\sigma(r)}\\&=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sym}_r}\varepsilon(\sigma)^2\lambda_1\lambda_2\ldots\lambda_r b_{\sigma(1)}\otimes\ldots\otimes b_{\sigma(r)}\\&=\lambda_1\lambda_2\ldots\lambda_r\alpha^+(r)(b_1\otimes\ldots\otimes b_r),\end{aligned}$$

where ε is the alternating character of Sym_r. But since r is even, $\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_r\in\Lambda_{\bar 0}$; finally, observe that by Case 1, $\alpha^+(r)(b_1\otimes\ldots\otimes b_r)\in\Sigma(r)$, and the proof is complete. □

cor:symspan
Corollary 4.7. *Let $R\subseteq(\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V))^+_{\bar 0}$ be the set of elements $A\in(\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V))^+_{\bar 0}$ such that $A=\omega(X)=X^\dagger X$ for some $X\in(\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V))_{\bar 0}$. Then $S^d((\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V))^+)_{\bar 0}$ is generated as $\Lambda_{\bar 0}$-module by $\{A\otimes A\otimes\ldots\otimes A\mid A\in R\}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.6 it suffices to prove that the set R satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) on the set B in the statement. A close examination of the proof of [17, Lemma 6.7] verifies these conditions. □

4.3. **Orthosymplectic invariants.** Let $L\in\left(T^{2d}(V)^*\right)^G$, where $G=\mathrm{OSp}(V)$. In [17, §4.2] we showed that there is a unique element $F_L\in\mathcal{P}^d[S^2(V^*)_{\bar 0}]\otimes T^{2d}(V)^*$ such that

eq:fl
$$F_L(\omega(A)\otimes v_1\otimes\ldots\otimes v_{2d})=L(Av_1\otimes\ldots\otimes Av_{2d}) \tag{4.8}$$

for any $A\in\mathrm{End}(V)_{\bar 0}$, where $\omega(A)=A^\dagger A\in\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)^+_{\bar 0}\cong S^2(V^*)_{\bar 0}$. Note that generically (i.e. for invertible $X\in S^2(V^*)$), $X=\omega(A)=\omega(B)$ implies that $B=gA$

for some $g \in \mathrm{OSp}(V)(= \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid g^\dagger = g^{-1}\})$. Hence by $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$-invariance, $L(Av_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes Av_{2d}) = L(Bv_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes Bv_{2d})$. Further, for $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$, $\omega(Ag^{-1}) = \hat{g}\omega(A)g^{-1}$. It follows that $F_L \in \left(\mathcal{P}^d[S^2(V^*)_{\bar{0}}] \otimes T^{2d}(V)^*\right)^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$.

rem:fl *Remark* 4.8. Note that since we have canonical $\mathrm{GL}(V)$-equivariant isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{P}^d[S^2(V^*)_{\bar{0}}] \cong S^d(S^2(V^*)^*) \cong \left(S^d(S^2(V^*))\right)^*,$$

F_L may be thought of as an element of $\left(S^d(S^2(V^*)) \otimes T^{2d}(V)\right)^*$, and hence as a $\mathrm{GL}(V)$-invariant linear map

eq:fllin
$$F_L : S^d(S^2(V^*)) \otimes T^{2d}(V) \longrightarrow \Lambda. \tag{4.9}$$

Now there are canonical $\mathrm{GL}(V)$-equivariant surjections

eq:sur
$$T^d(\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)) \otimes T^{2d}(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} T^{2d}(V^*) \otimes T^{2d}(V) \xrightarrow{/C\otimes \mathrm{id}} S^d(S^2(V^*)) \otimes T^{2d}(V), \tag{4.10}$$

where C is the centraliser in Sym_{2d} of the element $(12)(34)\ldots(2d-1, 2d)$, acting on $T^{2d}(V^*)$ as usual (i.e. through ϖ_{2d}). It follows that F_L may be pulled back to $T^d(\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)) \otimes T^{2d}(V)$ to give an element $H_L \in \left((T^d(\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)) \otimes T^{2d}(V))^*\right)^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$.

The result of these observations is the following statement. In the statement below, we use the notation of [17, §3.4], so that $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)^\pm = \{A \in \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V) \mid A^\dagger = \pm A\}$. Recall that under the isomorphism $\zeta^* : V^* \otimes V^* \simeq \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)$, $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)^+$ and $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)^-$ correspond respectively to $S^2(V^*)$ and $\wedge^2(V^*)$.

prop:ltohl **Proposition 4.9.** *Given $L \in \left(T^{2d}(V)^*\right)^G$, where $G = \mathrm{OSp}(V)$, there is a uniquely defined element $H_L \in \left((T^d(\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)) \otimes T^{2d}(V))^*\right)^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$ with the following properties.*

1. *The map $h : L \mapsto H_L$ is Λ-linear and injective*
$$h : (T^{2d}(V)^*)^G \longrightarrow \left((T^d(\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)) \otimes T^{2d}(V))^*\right)^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}.$$
2. *We have $H_L(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathrm{id}_V \otimes \underline{v}) = L(\underline{v})$ for $\underline{v} \in T^{2d}(V)$.*
3. *If $A \in (\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V))^+_{\bar{0}}$ and $X \in (\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V))_{\bar{0}}$ is such that $A = \omega(X) = X^\dagger X$, then for any element $\underline{v} \in T^{2d}(V)$, we have $H_L(A\otimes\ldots\otimes A\otimes\underline{v}) = F_L(A\otimes\underline{v}) = L(X.\underline{v}) = L(Xv_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes Xv_{2d})$.*
4. *If $A_i \in (\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V))^-_{\bar{0}}$ for some i, then for any element $\underline{v} \in T^{2d}(V)$ and elements $A_i \in \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)$, $H_L(A_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_d \otimes \underline{v}) = 0$.*

This leads to the following form for the Second fundamental Theorem for $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$.

thm:main **Theorem 4.10.** *All linear relations among the functions κ_D ($D \in \mathcal{D}$) (see Definition 3.15) are obtained as follows. We have $\sum_{D\in B^0_{2d}} \kappa_D\lambda_D = 0$, where $\lambda_D \in \Lambda$ for all D, if and only if we have $\sum_{D\in\mathcal{D}} h(\kappa_D)\lambda_D = 0$ in $\left((T^{2d}(V^*) \otimes T^{2d}(V))^*\right)^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$.*

We remark that all relations in $\left((T^{2d}(V^*) \otimes T^{2d}(V))^*\right)^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$ are known from Theorem 3.17. Thus in principle, all relations among the κ_D in W^G are known. To make effective use of this we shall prove a more explicit diagrammatic version of Theorem 4.10 in the next section. This will suffice to describe explicitly the kernel of the surjective map $\kappa : B^0_{2d} \longrightarrow T^{2d}(V^*)^G$ defined by $D \mapsto \kappa_D$.

5. A diagrammatic form of the second fundamental theorem for $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$

In this section we continue with the notation of the previous one. Thus $\mathrm{sdim}\, V_{\mathbb{C}} = (m|2n)$ and $G = \mathrm{OSp}(V)$. We shall work in the Brauer category $\mathcal{B}(m-2n)$, and our standard notation is that for non-negative integers s, t, B_s^t denotes $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}(m-2n)}(s,t)$, which is the free Λ-module with basis the set of Brauer diagrams from s to t.

5.1. **The diagrammatic setup.** Consider the following diagram:

cd1 (5.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B_{2d}^0 & & \xrightarrow{\quad\kappa\quad} & & \left(T^{2d}(V^*)\right)^G \\ & {\scriptstyle\chi?}\big\downarrow & & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle h} \\ B_{2d}^{2d} & \longrightarrow \Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_{2d} & \xrightarrow[\delta]{} & & \left(T^{2d}(V^*)\otimes T^{2d}(V)\right)^{\mathrm{GL}(V)} \end{array}$$

where κ and δ are defined respectively by $\kappa(D) = \kappa_D$ and $\delta(\pi) = \delta_\pi$, for a Brauer diagram D from $2d$ to 0 and permutation $\pi \in \Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$; h is the map defined in Proposition 4.9, and the map $B_{2d}^{2d} \to \Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$ is the natural map with kernel the ideal spanned by diagrams with horizontal arcs. We shall define a Λ-linear map χ as shown, which makes the diagram commute.

Let D be a diagram from $2d$ to 0. We shall define $\chi(D) \in \Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$. For the definition we shall require the C-symmetriser $e(C) \in \Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$, defined by

eq:alpha (5.2)
$$e(C) := |C|^{-1}\alpha^+(C) = |C|^{-1}\sum_{\sigma\in C}\sigma.$$

Now $D = D_0\pi_D$ where D_0 is the diagram defined in Corollary 3.14 and $\pi_D \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$ is unique up to left multiplication by an element $\sigma \in C$. It follows that the element

eq:chid (5.3)
$$\chi(D) := e(C)\pi_D$$

is well defined, since for any element $\sigma \in C$, $e(C)\sigma = e(C)$, so that $\chi(D)$ is independent of the choice of π_D.



FIGURE 4. Composition $D_0e(C) = D_0$ Fig4

prop:chi **Proposition 5.1.** *With $\chi : B_{2d}^0 \to \Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$ defined as in* (5.3) *above, the diagram* (5.1) *commutes.*

The proof depends on some results to be proved below.



FIGURE 5. Diagram D in Fig. 1 as composition $D_0\pi_D$ Fig6

5.2. **Proof of Proposition 5.1.** This will depend on the next two Lemmas. The first simply identifies the projection $p : T^{2d}(V^*) \longrightarrow S^d(S^2(V^*))$.

lem:proj **Lemma 5.2.** *The canonical projection $p : T^{2d}(V^*) \longrightarrow S^d(S^2(V^*))$ is realised by the action of the idempotent $\varpi_{2d}(e(C))$, where $e(C) = |C|^{-1}\sum_{\sigma\in C}\sigma$ is as defined in* (5.2).

This is clear from the definition of the super symmetric powers.

The next Lemma gives the values of F_{κ_D} for $D \in B^0_{2d}$. Recall (see Remark 4.8) that F_L is to be thought of as a linear map from $S^d(S^2(V^*)) \otimes T^{2d}(V)$ to Λ, and H_L is its pullback to $T^{2d}(V^*) \otimes T^{2d}(V)$ (cf. the last Lemma).

lem:fl **Lemma 5.3.** *Let $L = \kappa_D$ (see Definition 3.15). For $\underline{\psi} \in S^d(S^2(V^*)) \subset T^{2d}(V^*)$ and $\underline{v} \in T^{2d}(V)$, we have $F_{\kappa_D}(\underline{\psi}\otimes\underline{v}) = \delta_{\pi_D}(\underline{\psi}\otimes\underline{v})$, where π_D is any permutation in D, thought of as a coset $C\pi_D$ of C in Sym_{2d} and F_{κ_D} is defined in* (4.8).

Proof. Note that by Lemma 5.2, $S^d(S^2(V^*)) = \varpi_{2d}(e(C))T^{2d}(V^*)$. Since the statement is linear in $\underline{\psi}$, it follows from Corollary 4.7 above, that it suffices to prove the Lemma for $\underline{\psi} = A\otimes A\otimes\ldots\otimes A \mid A \in (\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V))^+_{\bar 0}\} \in S^d(S^2(V^*))$, where A has the form $A = X^\dagger X$ for some $X \in (\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V))_{\bar 0}$. We therefore consider this case.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\kappa_D}(A\otimes A\otimes\ldots\otimes A\otimes\underline{v}) &= \kappa_D(Xv_1\otimes Xv_2\otimes\ldots\otimes Xv_{2d}) \\ &= \langle\varpi_{2d}(\pi_D)(Xv_1\otimes\ldots\otimes Xv_{2d})\rangle \\ &= \mu(A\otimes A\otimes\ldots\otimes A\otimes\varpi_{2d}(\pi_D)(\underline{v})), \end{aligned}$$

where μ is the map in Lemma 4.2, since $(Xv_i, Xv_j) = (v_i, X^\dagger Xv_j) = (v_i, Av_j) = (Av_i, v_j)$.

From the commutativity of the diagram in Lemma 4.2, it follows that $F_{\kappa_D}(A\otimes A\otimes\ldots\otimes A\otimes\underline{v}) = \langle A\otimes A\otimes\ldots\otimes A, \varpi_{2d}(\pi_D)(\underline{v})\rangle = \delta_{\pi_D}(A\otimes A\otimes\ldots\otimes A\otimes\underline{v})$, and replacing $A\otimes A\otimes\ldots\otimes A$ by a general element $\underline{\psi} \in S^d(S^2(V^*))$, the result follows. □

Proof of Proposition 5.1. It remains to prove that for each element $\underline{\phi}\otimes\underline{v} \in T^{2d}(V^*)\otimes T^{2d}(V)$, we have

eq:pf (5.4) $$h(\kappa_D)(\underline{\phi}\otimes\underline{v}) = \delta(\chi(D))(\underline{\phi}\otimes\underline{v}).$$

By Lemma 5.2, the left side of (5.4) is equal to $F_{\kappa_D}(p(\underline{\phi})\otimes\underline{v}) = F_{\kappa_D}([\varpi_{2d}(e(C))(\underline{\phi})]\otimes \underline{v})$, which by Lemma 5.3 is equal to $\delta_{\pi_D}([\varpi_{2d}(e(C))(\underline{\phi})]\otimes\underline{v})$. But

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\pi_D}([\varpi_{2d}(e(C))(\underline{\phi})]\otimes\underline{v}) =&\langle[\varpi_{2d}(e(C))(\underline{\phi})], \varpi_{2d}(\pi_D)(\underline{v})\rangle\\ =&\langle\underline{\phi}, \varpi_{2d}(e(C))\varpi_{2d}(\pi_D)(\underline{v})\rangle\\ =&\langle\underline{\phi}, \varpi_{2d}(|C|^{-1}\sum_{\sigma\in C}\sigma\pi_D)(\underline{v})\rangle\\ =&|C|^{-1}\sum_{\sigma\in C}\delta_{\sigma\pi_D}(\underline{\phi}\otimes\underline{v})\\ =&\delta(e(C)\pi_D)(\underline{\phi}\otimes\underline{v})\\ =&\delta(\chi(D))(\underline{\phi}\otimes\underline{v}),\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. □

5.3. **The second fundamental theorem of invariant theory.** The second fundamental theorem describes the kernel of the map κ in the diagram (5.1). The result, which is the main result of this work, is as follows.

thm:Main **Theorem 5.4.** *We have*

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa) = D_0 I(m,n),$$

where $I(m,n)$ is the ideal of $\Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$ which is the sum of the two-sided ideals corresponding to partitions which contain an $(m+1)\times(2n+1)$ rectangle, and D_0 is the diagram defined in Corollary 3.14.

Proof. Since h is injective, it follows that $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa) = \mathrm{Ker}(\delta\circ\chi)$. Hence $b\in B^0_{2d}$ lies in $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa)$ if and only if $\chi(b)\in\mathrm{Ker}(\delta)$, and by Theorem 3.17, $\mathrm{Ker}(\delta) = I(m,n)$. But we claim that $\chi^{-1}(I(m,n)) = D_0 I(m,n)$, for if $x\in I(m,n)$ then $\chi(D_0 x) = e(C)x\in I(m,n)$, while conversely if $D_0 x\in\chi^{-1}(I(m,n))$, then $\chi(D_0x) = e(C)x\in I(m,n)$. But then $D_0x = D_0e(C)x\in D_0I(m,n)$, proving the assertion. The Theorem follows. □



with $\theta\in I(m,n)$.

FIGURE 6. Elements of $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa)$ Fig7

The following statement concerns the range of values of d for which

cor:lowest **Corollary 5.5.** *With notation as in Theorem 5.4, the kernel of κ is zero if $2d < (m+1)(2n+1)$. Further $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa)\neq 0$ for $d\geq(m+1)(2n+1)$.*

Proof. The first assertion is immediate from Theorem 5.4. The second follows from the fact that $I(m,n) = \mathbb{U}^{(m+1)(2n+1)}(D\circ\big(I(m,n)\otimes(1^{\otimes(m+1)(2n+1)}\big))$, where $D: 2(m+1)(2n+1)\to 0$ is the diagram with arcs $(i, 2(m+1)(2n+1)-i+1)$,

$i = 1, \dots, (m+1)(2n+1)$ and $\mathbb{U}$ is the map described in the first paragraph of §5.4 below. The argument of $\mathbb{U}^{(m+1)(2n+1)}$ on the right side is therefore a non-zero element of $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa)$. □

Note that as the discussion of the classical orthogonal and symplectic cases below shows, Corollary 5.5 is not optimal. Here is a non-classical example.

ex:osp12 **Example 5.6.** We take $m = 1 = n$; thus we are in the case of $\mathrm{OSp}(1|2)$. In this case the smallest value of d for which $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa)$ could be non-zero is $d = 3$ ($2d = (m+1)(2n+1) = 2 \times 3 = 6$). However a straightforward calculation shows that in this case (i.e. $d = 3$) we have $D_0 I(1,2) = 0$ in B_6^0. Thus here $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa) = 0$ when $2d = (m+1)(2n+1)$. In fact in this case one sees that $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa) = 0$ if and only if $d \leq 3$. For this one must verify that $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa) \neq 0$ when $d = 4$, i.e. that $D_0 I(1,2) \neq 0$ in B_8^0.

Remark 5.7. The available evidence seems to point to the guess that the smallest value of d for which $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa) \neq 0$ is $d = (m+1)(n+1)$ (i.e. $2d = (m+1)(2n+1)+m+1$). However we have not verified this statement, which of course is true in the classical cases $m = 0$ or $n = 0$.

ss:ff 5.4. **Interpretation in the first formulation.** Theorem 5.4 provides the SFT for $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$ in its second formulation; that is, it describes the linear structure of $(T^{2d}(V))^{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}$. It may be useful to point out that it may be reinterpreted in terms of the Brauer algebra action $\eta_r : B_r(m-2n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(T^r(V))$ (see [17, Cor. 5.7]). For this we note that in the Brauer category [17], for non-negative integers p, q with $p > 0$ there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{U} : B_p^q \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{p-1}^{q+1}$. It is denoted $\mathbb{U}_{p-1}^1$ in [16, Cor. 2.8], and is depicted in Figure 7.



FIGURE 7. $\mathbb{U}(D)$ for $D \in B_p^q$ Fig9

One therefore has an isomorphism $\mathbb{U}^d : B_{2d}^0 \longrightarrow B_d^d$, and this leads to the following extension of the diagram (5.1).

cd2 (5.5)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_d^d & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathrm{End}_G(T^d(V)) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathbb{U}^d}\uparrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} \\ B_{2d}^0 & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \left(T^{2d}(V^*)\right)^G \\ {\scriptstyle \chi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} \\ \Lambda\mathrm{Sym}_{2d} \subseteq B_{2d}^{2d} & \xrightarrow[\delta]{} & \left(T^{2d}(V^*) \otimes T^{2d}(V)\right)^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}. \end{array}$$

The top map $\eta : B_d^d(m-2n) \to \mathrm{End}_G(T^d(V))$ is precisely the map discussed in the paper [16]. Theorem 5.4 has the following evident consequence.

cor:Main **Corollary 5.8.** *The kernel of the surjective homomorphism* $\eta : B_d(m-2n) := B_d^d \to \mathrm{End}_G(T^d(V))$ *is* $\mathbb{U}^d(D_0 I(m,n))$.

Remark 5.9. In [15] and [16] we showed that in the classical cases when $m = 0$ or when $n = 0$, the kernel is actually generated by a single idempotent in $B_d(m-2n)$, but we do not yet have a similar result in the general case.

6. Application to the classical groups

In this final section we shall show how our main theorem provides a new proof of the second fundamental theorem in the classical cases of the orthogonal and symplectic groups over $\mathbb{C}$ (see, e.g., [7, 19]). The principal observation is that Theorem 5.4 and its proof remain valid *mutatis mutandis* in these cases when Λ is replaced by $\mathbb{C}$, $V = V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$ by $V_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $\mathrm{OSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda)$ is replaced by $G = O(m,\mathbb{C})$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{C})$.

In this section we therefore take V to be $V_{\mathbb{C}}$, and apply Theorem 5.4 in those respective cases.

6.1. **The othogonal case: $G = O(m,\mathbb{C})$.** Here we take $V_{\mathbb{C}} = (V_{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{0}}$ to be purely even, and the form $(-,-)$ to be symmetric. Then parts (1)-(3) of Proposition 4.9 recover the key elements in the proof of the first fundamental theorem of the invariant theory of $O(m,\mathbb{C})$ given in [1]. The ideal $I(m,n) = I(m,0)$ is spanned (over $\mathbb{C}$) by elements of the form $\pi\alpha^-(m+1)\pi'$, where $\pi,\pi' \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$ and for ℓ such that $1 \le \ell \le 2d$, $\alpha^-(\ell) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sym}_\ell} \varepsilon(\sigma)\sigma$, where ε is the alternating character of Sym_{2d} and $\mathrm{Sym}_\ell \subseteq \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$ is the subgroup which permutes the first ℓ symbols.

Theorem 5.4 asserts that $\mathrm{Ker}\left(\kappa : B_{2d}^0(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow (T^{2d}(V^*)^G\right)$ is spanned by the elements $D_0\pi\alpha^-(m+1)\pi'$. The next Lemma shows that if d is small, the kernel is zero.

lem:smalld **Lemma 6.1.** *If $d \le m$, then each element $D_0\pi\alpha^-(m+1)\pi'$ of B_{2d}^0 is zero.*

Proof. Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$ and consider the diagram $D = D_0\pi$. If $d \le m$, then $d < m+1$, and it follows that at least one arc of D has both ends in $\{1,2,\dots,m+1\}$ (if each arc with an end in $\{1,2,\dots,m+1\}$ had an end outside $\{1,2,\dots,m+1\}$, we would have $2d \ge 2(m+1)$). Suppose this arc is from i to j, where $1 \le i < j \le m+1$, and write (ij) for the transposition in Sym_{2d} which interchanges i and j.

Then by the rules for multiplying Brauer diagrams, we have $D(ij) = D$, whence $D_0\pi(ij)\alpha^-(m+1) = D_0\pi\alpha^-(m+1)$.

But by the alternating property of $\alpha^-(m+1)$, we have $(ij)\alpha^-(m+1) = -\alpha^-(m+1)$, whence $D_0\pi(ij)\alpha^-(m+1) = -D_0\pi\alpha^-(m+1)$. The Lemma follows. □

cor:smalld **Corollary 6.2.** *If $d \le m$, $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa) = 0$, and $\kappa : B_{2d}^0(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow (T^{2d}(V^*))^G$ is an isomorphism.*

Our main theorem now has the following interpretation in the present case (cf. [16, Theorem 3.4]). Recall that diagrams $D \in B_{2d}^0$ are in canonical bijection with partitions of $\{1,\dots,2d\}$ into pairs.



FIGURE 8. A zero element in B_{2d}^0 Fig8

thm:orth **Theorem 6.3.** *Let $V = V_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}^m$ and $G = O(n, \mathbb{C})$. If $d \leq m$, then* $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa) = 0$. *Assume that $d \geq m + 1$.*

Define a set of linear relations among the κ_D as follows. Let S, S' be two disjoint subsets of $\{1, \ldots, 2d\}$ such that $|S| = |S'| = m + 1$, and for $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(\{S\})$, let $D(\pi, \pi'; \sigma)$ be the diagram in B_{2d}^0 which pairs $\sigma(s_i)$ with s_i', where the $s_i \in S, s_i' \in S'$ are written in increasing order, and the points in $\{1, \ldots, 2d\} \setminus (S \cup S')$ are paired as they are in each diagram occurring in $D_0 \pi \alpha^-(m+1)\pi'$.

Then for each $\pi, \pi' \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$,

eq:relo
$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{m+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) \kappa_{D(\pi, \pi', \sigma)} = 0, \tag{6.1}$$

and all relations among the κ_D are linear consequences of these relations.

Proof. The first statement is Corollary 6.2.

By Theorem 5.4, all relations among the κ_D are consequences of the relations $\kappa(D_0 \pi \alpha^-(m+1)\pi') = 0$, for $\pi, \pi' \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$.

Now the argument of the proof of Lemma 6.1 shows that if two of the points in the set $S := \{\pi^{-1}(1), \pi^{-1}(2), \ldots, \pi^{-1}(m+1)\}$ are paired by D_0 then $D_0 \pi \alpha^-(m+1)\pi' = 0$ in B_{2d}^0, and so to obtain a non-zero element of the kernel, we may assume that no two points in S are paired by D_0, and hence that the set S' of points which are paired by D_0 with a point of S is disjoint from S.

In this case, the relation $\kappa(D_0 \pi \alpha^-(m+1)\pi') = 0$ is easily seen to translate into (6.1), and the result is now clear. □



FIGURE 9. The set S consists of •'s and S' of ×'s Fig10

6.2. **The symplectic case.** In this case we take $V = V_{\mathbb{C}} = V_{\bar{1}}$. The form $(-,-)$ is then skew, and $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. The ideal $I(m,n) = I(0,n)$ is in this case spanned (over $\mathbb{C}$) by elements of the form $\pi \alpha^+(2n+1)\pi'$, where $\pi, \pi' \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$ and for each integer ℓ with $1 \leq \ell \leq 2d$, $\alpha^+(\ell) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_\ell} \sigma$, where as above, $\mathrm{Sym}_\ell \subseteq \mathrm{Sym}_{2d}$ is the subgroup which permutes the first ℓ symbols.

In this case, the analogue of Lemma 6.1 is the trivial observation that there are no non-zero elements of the kernel unless $2d \geq 2n+1$, i.e. $d \geq n+1$.

Taking into account that for a symplectic form $(v,v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$, we obtain the following form of the main theorem for the symplectic case.

thm:symp **Theorem 6.4.** *Let $V = V_{\bar{1}} = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, and $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. If $d \leq n$, then $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa) = 0$. Assume that $d \geq n+1$.*

Define a set of linear relations among the κ_D as follows. Let S be a subset of $\{1, \dots, 2d\}$ such that $|S| = 2n+1$. For any diagram $D \in B_{2d}^0$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(\{S\})$, let $D(S,\sigma)$ be the diagram $D(S,\sigma) = D\sigma$, where σ is regarded as an element of $\mathrm{Sym}_{2d} \subset B_{2d}^{2d}$ which fixes the points outside S.

Then

eq:relsp
$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(\{S\})} \kappa_{D(S,\sigma)} = 0, \tag{6.2}$$

and all relations among the κ_D are linear consequences of these relations.

This is clear from Theorem 5.4.

References

[1] Atiyah, M.; Bott, R.; Patodi, V. K., "On the heat equation and the index theorem", *Invent. Math.* **19** (1973), 279–330. 1, 6.1

[2] Balantekin, A. Baha; Bars, Itzhak. "Representations of supergroups", *J. Math. Phys.* **22** (1981), no. 8, 1810-1818. 2.1

[3] Berele, Allan "Invariant theory for matrices over the Grassmann algebra", *Adv. Math.* **237** (2013), 3361. 2.1

[4] A. Berele and A. Regev, "Hook Young diagrams with applications to combinatorics and to representations of Lie superalgebras", *Adv. Math.* **64** (1987), 118-175. 2.1, 2.2

[5] Deligne, Pierre; Morgan, John W. "Notes on supersymmetry (following Joseph Bernstein)". Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians, Vol. **1**, **2** (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997), 41–97, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999. 1.2

[6] Dondi, P. H.; Jarvis, P. D. "Diagram and superfield techniques in the classical superalgebras", *J. Phys.* **A 14** (1981), no. 3, 547-563. 2.1

[7] R. Goodman and N.R. Wallach, "Representations and Invariants of the Classical Groups", Cambridge University Press, third corrected printing, 2003. 6

[8] J.J. Graham and G.I. Lehrer, "Cellular algebras", *Inventiones Math.* **123** (1996), 1–34.

[9] J. J. Graham and G. I. Lehrer, "The representation theory of affine Temperley-Lieb algebras", *Enseign. Math. (2)* **44** (1998), no. 3-4, 173–218.

[10] J.J. Graham and G.I. Lehrer, "Diagram algebras, Hecke algebras and decomposition numbers at roots of unity", *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **36** (2003), 479–524.

[11] J.J. Graham and G.I. Lehrer, "Cellular algebras and diagram algebras in representation theory", *Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups, Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **40**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, (2004), 141–173.

[12] V. Kac, "Lie superalgebras", *Adv. Math.* **26** (1977), no. 1, 8–96. 1.2

[13] G. I. Lehrer and R. B. Zhang, "Strongly multiplicity free modules for Lie algebras and quantum groups", *J. of Alg.* **306** (2006), 138–174.

[14] G. I. Lehrer and R. B. Zhang, "A Temperley-Lieb analogue for the BMV algebra", in *Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups*, 155–190, Progr. Math., **284**, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2010.

[15] G. I. Lehrer and R. B. Zhang, "The second fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the orthogonal group", *Annals of Math.* **176** (2012), 2031–2054. 5.9

[16] G. I. Lehrer and R. B. Zhang, "The Brauer Category and Invariant Theory", *J. European Math. Society*, in press. arXiv:1207.5889 [math.GR]. 1, 3.2.3, 5.4, 5.4, 5.9, 6.1

[17] G. I. Lehrer and R. B. Zhang,"The first fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the orthosymplectic supergroup", arXiv:1401.7395 [math.GR]. 1, 1.1, 2, 2.1, 2.1, 3.1, 3.1, 3.2, 3.11, 4.1, 4.2, 4.2, 4.2, 4.2, 4.3, 4.3, 5.4

[18] G.I. Lehrer, Hechun Zhang and R.B. Zhang, "A quantum analogue of the first fundamental theorem of invariant theory", *Commun. Math. Phys.* **301** (2011), 131–174.

[19] Procesi, Claudio, "Lie groups. An approach through invariants and representations", Universitext. Springer, New York, 2007. xxiv+596 pp. 6

[20] Salam, Abdus; Strathdee, J. "Super-gauge transformations", *Nuclear Phys.* **B76** (1974), 477–482. 1.1

[21] M. Scheunert, "The theory of Lie superalgebras; an introduction". Lecture Notes in Math., vol. **716**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1979. 1.2

[22] Scheunert, M.; Zhang, R. B. "The general linear supergroup and its Hopf superalgebra of regular functions", *J. Algebra* **254** (2002), no. 1, 44–83. 2.1

[23] A. Sergeev, "An analogue of the classical theory of invariants for Lie superalgebras", (Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **26** (1992), no. 3, 88–90; translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **26** (1992), no. 3, 223–225. 2.1

[24] A. Sergeev, "An analog of the classical invariant theory for Lie superalgebras. I, II", *Michigan Math. J.* **49** (2001), Issue 1, 113-146; 147-168. 2.1

[25] Varadarajan, V. S. "Supersymmetry for mathematicians: an introduction". Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **11**. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[26] De Witt, Bryce, "Supermanifolds". Second edition. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992. 1.1

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, N.S.W. 2006, Australia

E-mail address: gustav.lehrer@sydney.edu.au, ruibin.zhang@sydney.edu.au